UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,925	04/07/2006	Keiichiro Aoki	127671	5541
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 09/03/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			CASTRO, ARNOLD	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/574,925	AOKI, KEIICHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ARNOLD CASTRO	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>June</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-10 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 April 2006 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the content	r election requirement. r. ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to ledrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to led the drawing(s) is objected to led the drawing(s) is objected to led the drawing(s) is objected the drawing(s) is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

 $Continuation \ of \ Attachment(s)\ 3).\ Information \ Disclosure \ Statement(s)\ (PTO/SB/08),\ Paper\ No(s)/Mail\ Date :04/07/2006,08/24/2007,05/05/2008,05/15/2008,\ 06/05/2008\ .$

Application/Control Number: 10/574,925 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on June 5, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the other independent Species contain limitations to various non related features such as counting means, correction means, temperature acquisition means, etc., this is a serious burden to examine.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 4-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Groups 2-5, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on June 5, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata, Kazufumi.
- 5. Kazufumi discloses a control circuit for controlling the heater of an oxygen sensor, After the engine is stopped the heater is continue to operate until the exhaust

temperature is below prescribed temperature where carbon and other contaminates will not stick to the sensor thus maintaining the accuracy of the sensor for long period of time. However, Kazufumi does not specify the "prescribed temperature is 80 C as claimed in claim 1 or that the heater is controlled between 300C and 500C when engine is stopped. It is well known that the normal operating temperature range of heated oxygen sensors is above 300C so the continued operation of sensor would result in the sensor being limited in a range between 300C and 500C. Moreover since, Kazufumi is attempting to prevent deposit of carbon that the burn off temperature of soot (soot) is between 300-400C degrees. As for the limitation of exhaust temperature lower cutoff point being below 80C. It is known in the art that carbon becomes stickier as temperature drops and at an exhaust temperature of 80C all of the carbon would have deposited in the walls of the exhaust pipe by that time and it would be a good prescribed temperature where carbon and the like would not stick to sensor and therefore obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARNOLD CASTRO whose telephone number is (571)272-4839. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexed M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,925 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AC

/Stephen K. Cronin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747